UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 20 MJ 00012 SAB
Plaintiff, v.	DETENTION ORDER (Violation of Supervised Release)
DANIEL JOSEPH ROMERO,	
Defendant.	
The defendant having been arrested for alleged visupervised release; and Having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), the Court orders the above-named X The defendant has not met defendant's burden of that defendant is not likely to flee; and/or X The defendant has not met defendant's burden of that defendant is not likely to pose a danger to the safety released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the reasons stated on the	o Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) defendant detained and finds that: f establishing by clear and convincing evidence f establishing by clear and convincing evidence of any other person or the community if

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 17, 2020**